

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,605	04/30/2001	Akira Ichikawa	Q64273	9350	
7590 10/19/2004		EXAMINER			
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas			CHANG, VICTOR S		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, DC 20037-3213			1771		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s))			
Office Action Summary		09/830,605	ICHIKAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Victor S Chang	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	,			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Se	eptember 2004.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		-			
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	• ,			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/19/2003.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Introduction

- 1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicants' amendments and remarks filed on 9/15/2004. Applicants' amendment to claim 1 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn. In particular, after considering Applicants' arguments (Remarks, pages 4-5), the Examiner withdraws the rejection in section 4 of prior Office action dated 3/17/2004. Finally, a copy of initialed IDS of 11/19/2003 is attached to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Tanimura et al. (US 6065701), generally as set forth in section 5 of Office action dated 3/17/2004, together with the following additional response to argument.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,605

Art Unit: 1771

It is noted that newly amended claim 1 now recites *inter alia* "when said adhesive label is applied to an article, said adhesive layer comes into direct contact with the surface of the article".

First, the Examiner repeats the relied upon prior art as follows:

Tanimura's invention is directed to a label comprising electronic components (Abstract). In Fig. 2, Tanimura shows an adhesive label having an antenna wiring and an IC (electronic components) formed on a polyimide substrate 16, and an adhesive layer 17. An exfoliative paper 18 (release layer) is laminated on the adhesive layer 17, which is a layer of "both faces adhesive material" (i.e., double sided pressure sensitive adhesive layer) for adhering the label to a video cassette tape (column 4, lines 7-8). Tanimura also teaches a coated paper layer 12 as an outer surface layer for carrying information such as the title, recording date, and recording place of the video data recorded on a video tape (column 3, lines 52-56).

For claims 1 and 2, Tanimura teaches the invention as claimed.

For claim 4, Fig. 2 clearly shows that adhesive layer 17 covers the electronic components (i.e., the entire data carrier element).

For claims 5 and 7, Tanimur's coated paper layer 12 reads on the surface layer as claimed.

Claims lack novelty.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimura et al. (US 6065701) in view of Applicants' admission, generally as set forth in section 5

Application/Control Number: 09/830,605

Art Unit: 1771

of Office action dated 3/17/2004, together with the following additional response to argument.

For claim 6, Tanimura lacks a specific teaching that the electronic components may be formed on both surfaces of the polyimide substrate. However, it is noted that Applicants appear to have admitted that an adhesive label containing a contact-less data carrier element mounted on one or both surfaces of a circuit substrate, with connecting through-hole in the latter case, is conventional and well known (Specification, pages 1-2, bridging paragraph). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optionally mount the contact-less data carrier element mounted on one or both surfaces of a circuit substrate. It should be noted that the selection of a known equivalent device based on its suitability for its intended use supported a *prima facie* obviousness determination. See MPEP § 2144.07.

With respect to Applicants' argument "the surface carrying the IC chip 2 and electronic circuit 21 on the circuit substrate 1 of the present invention faces the surface of the article 6, whereas the surface carrying the IC 20 and the antenna wiring 30 on the polyimide substrate 16 of Tanimura et al does not face the surface of the cassette ..." (Remarks, pages 7-8, bridging paragraph), the Examiner notes that the rejection is based on the combined teachings of Tanimura and Applicants' own admission, which expressly discloses that mounting a contact-less data carrier element on one or both surfaces of a circuit substrate is conventional and well known, as set forth above, Applicants' argument to the cited references individually notwithstanding.

Application/Control Number: 09/830,605

Art Unit: 1771

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1771

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor S Chang Examiner Art Unit 1771

10/5/2004

ELIZABETH M. COLE
BRIMARY EXAMINER